

**FCM Working Group**  
**July 30, 2009**  
**Doubletree Hotel, Westborough, MA**  
**Meeting Notes**

---

Introduction

- Tri-Chairs welcomed everyone to the first FCMWG meeting. Commissioner Paul Roberti noted that the states unanimously support engaging a FERC neutral to help facilitate the FCMWG process, and are asking that NEPOOL take action on their proposal at its August 7, 2009 Participants Committee meeting.
- Brief opening remarks regarding the overall goals and objectives were made by Ms. Angie O'Connor, Mr. Peter Fuller, Mr. Brian Forshaw, Mr. Doug Hurley, and Mr. Tom Austin.

Schedule and Process for FCM Changes

- The FCMWG reviewed the Proposed FCM Working Group Flow Chart, which was presented by the Tri-Chairs. There were a number of clarifying questions. No changes to the proposed schedule and process were suggested.

Identification and Discussion of Issues

- The FCMWG reviewed the FCM Working Group Issues list, which was prepared by the FCM Steering Group.
- The Tri-Chairs agreed in response to question raised during discussion to consider off-line whether the PER component of the EnerNOC proposal (currently listed as #3 - Non-FCM Working Group Issue) should be addressed by the FCMWG, or whether the MC would consider this proposal exclusively.
- In response to questions concerning financial assurance requirements for New Demand Resources, the decision was made to have that issue taken up by the Markets Committee rather than through the FCMWG.
- No further issues were added to the FCM Working Group Issues list.
- Mr. Mark Karl gave the FCMWG a more detailed overview of some of the LSR/TSA/Zonal issues in the time remaining.

### Conclusion/Next Steps

- The following dates were identified for FCMWG meetings and members were encouraged to mark their calendars accordingly: 8/21 (half-day with half-day MC), 8/31, 9/9, 9/15, 10/1, 10/8, 10/14, 10/23, and 10/29.
- For the FCMWG meeting on August 7, 2009, the Tri-Chairs indicated that Mr. David LaPlante would provide an overview of the recommendations in the INTMMU Report. Dr. Robert Stoddard would be invited to present the generators' view of needed changes to the APR.
- In response to a concern raised on behalf of the generators about the requirement that de-list bids for FCA 4 be submitted before the output of the FCMWG process is known, the ISO agreed to look at the issue and to bring some options back to the FCMWG at an upcoming meeting (though probably not for the August 7 meeting).

NOTES