

# United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 03-1032

September Term, 2002

Filed On: March 27, 2003 [740596]

Richard Blumenthal, Attorney General for the State of  
Connecticut,

Petitioner

v.

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,  
Respondent

---

Mirant Americas Energy Marketing, L.P., et al.,  
Intervenors

**BEFORE:** Randolph and Rogers, Circuit Judges

## **ORDER**

Upon consideration of the motion for stay pending review, the oppositions thereto, and the reply; and the motion to dismiss, the opposition thereto, and the reply, it is

**ORDERED** that the motion for stay be denied. Petitioner has not satisfied the stringent standards required for a stay pending court review. See Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Commission v. Holiday Tours, Inc., 559 F.2d 841, 843 (D.C. Cir. 1977); D.C. Circuit Handbook of Practice and Internal Procedures 33 (2002). It is

**FURTHER ORDERED** that the motion to dismiss be referred to the merits panel to which this petition for review is assigned. The parties are directed to address in their briefs the issues presented in the motion to dismiss rather than incorporate those arguments by reference.

## **Per Curiam**

**FOR THE COURT:**  
Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY:

Deputy Clerk/LD