

May 6, 2019

Ms. Mariah Winkler
Chair, NEPOOL Reliability Committee
ISO New England, Inc.
One Sullivan Road
Holyoke, MA 01040-2841

Dear Ms. Winkler,

In accordance with Schedule 12C of the ISO New England ("ISO-NE") Transmission, Markets & Services Tariff ("ISO-NE Tariff"), Eversource Energy Service Company ("Eversource") hereby submits the attached Transmission Cost Allocation ("TCA") application(s) reporting cost support information associated with the construction, retirement, or modification to facilities rated 69 kV and above that qualify as regional Pool Transmission Facilities ("PTF") for the following Connecticut Light and Power Company project:

**ES-19-TCA-29 1565 115kV Line Structure Replacement Project (Plumtree
substation – Peaceable substation)**

Eversource is requesting that ISO-NE submit this TCA to the NEPOOL Reliability Committee for review, in accordance with ISO-NE Planning Procedure No. 4 ("PP-4").

If you have any questions, I can be reached via the information listed above.

Sincerely,

Allen Scarfone

Allen W. Scarfone

cc: M. Drzewianowski

Attachment B TCA Application Form			
1. Applicant:		Application #:	Date:
Contact Name:	Allen Scarfone	ES-19-TCA-29	May-19
Company Name:	Eversource Energy		
Address 1:	56 Prospect Street		
Address 2:			
City, State, Zip	Hartford, CT	RSP Project ID # or	
Contact Phone #	860-728-4618	Asset Condition ID #	125
Email Address	allen.scarfone@eversource.com	Is Project related to CIP-14	
		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
2. Project Description:		In Service Date:	Apr-19
a. High Level Project Details:			
Project Name (If no formal name, then Substation Upgrade, Line Upgrade, etc. are acceptable):		1565 115kV Line Structure Replacements (Plumtree substation - Peaceable)	
Project Location (State only):		State:	County:
		CT	Fairfield
b. Summary of PTF-related work for Project:			
Replace 38 wood structures on the 1565 Line with steel pole structures to mitigate deficiencies such as: woodpecker damage, rot, cracks and deteriorated steel mechanical connections.			
Final project cost details will be known following close out of all project work orders.			
c. Summary of Non-PTF-related work for Project:			
3. Was a transmission Proposed Plan Application required for this work?		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PPA Number: n/a
4. Has a transmission Proposed Plan Application been approved?		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	Approval Date:
If yes, attach a copy and reference Proposed Plan Application # and approval date.		(Please check only one)	
Need For Project:			
5. Need Based On (Check all Categories that apply):			
a. Reliability		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
b. Economic		<input type="checkbox"/>	
c. Service to new load		<input type="checkbox"/>	
d. New generator interconnection		<input type="checkbox"/>	
Generator Proposed Plan Application Number			
Generator Proposed Plan Application Date			
(Attach copy of cover letter & Generator Proposed Plan Application)			

e.	Public Policy Transmission Upgrade (PPTU)	<input type="checkbox"/>
f.	Market Efficiency Transmission Upgrade (METU)	<input type="checkbox"/>
g.	Asset Condition	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
h.	Other (specify in line 6)	<input type="checkbox"/>

6. Provide a narrative description of the need for this Project.
(Include available documentation relative to the need for this Project.)

Replacing these structures remedies the potential for structure failures due to asset condition vulnerabilities. To ensure the continued operability of this line segment, the identified structures in this line section need to be replaced.

Cost of Project:

7. Total Project Cost (\$M) equals PTF + Non-PTF + all other Project Costs:

\$9,095

8. Total Proposed PTF Costs

a. Total Proposed PTF Cost of this Project (\$M):

\$9,095

b. Requested Pool-Supported PTF Costs associated with this Project (\$M):

\$9,095

c. Breakdown of Requested Pool-Supported PTF Cost associated with this Project (\$M):
(Consistent with Table 1 and Appendix D of this Procedure)

Material

\$0,900

Labor

\$6,700

ROW

\$0,000

Engineering/Permitting/Indirects

\$0,000

Escalation

\$1,425

AFUDC (or equivalent)

\$0,000

Contingency

\$0,700

d. Generator Supported PTF Costs* (\$M):

\$0,000

If the costs in 8.b. plus 8.d. do not equal the total proposed PTF cost (8.a) explain and indicate who is responsible for the remaining costs.

9. Total Proposed Non-PTF Cost of this Project (\$M):

\$0,000

10. Proposed PTF Costs (\$M) introduced as a result of local, state or other regulatory/legislative requirements, including costs identified pursuant to Section 1.6.3 of this PP-4.

\$0,000

a. Description of Proposed PTF Cost introduced as a result of local, state or other regulatory/legislative requirements as defined in question 8 above.

11. All other Project Costs not captured in PTF Costs (8) or Non-PTF Costs (9) (\$M) associated with this Project:

\$0,000

12. Total PTF Cost based on: (check one)

Actual Costs ☐

OR

Estimated Costs* ☒

13. Valuation Year(s) of dollar amounts submitted above: 2019

14. If applicable, explain how the cost of common facilities were allocated between PTF and Non-PTF.

15. Does this Project result in a change of existing Non-PTF facilities to PTF?

Yes ☐No ☒

16. Describe the major transmission alternatives, and their costs consistent with the breakdown provided in item 7 of this Application, that were considered. Provided an explanation why the preferred alternative was selected.
(Include available documentation relative to the major transmission alternatives analysis and selection.)

Alternative: Do nothing but for the reasons stated in 6 above is not acceptable.

Preferred: Field Inspections have indicated a significant amount of degradation and decreased load carrying capacity of wood 345-kV structures (many of the poles show signs of decay, woodpecker damage, rot, and deterioration). Replacing the structures resolves multiple structural/hardware issues and supports safe and reliable operation of the transmission line.

17. Has state and local siting been completed? If yes, explain the siting process and any provisions that were made during siting, provide docket or siting reference numbers. If no, then explain when siting is expected to be completed and any provisions that have been agreed to.

No unusual siting or permitting was required for this project.

* Pool-Supported PTF costs were determined pursuant to Schedule 11 of Section II of the Tariff.

			2016				2017				2018				2019				2020				2021				2022							
			Qtr1	Qtr2	Qtr3	Qtr4	Qtr1	Qtr2	Qtr3	Qtr4	Qtr1	Qtr2	Qtr3	Qtr4	Qtr1	Qtr2	Qtr3	Qtr4	Qtr1	Qtr2	Qtr3	Qtr4	Qtr1	Qtr2	Qtr3	Qtr4	Qtr1	Qtr2	Qtr3	Qtr4				
Description			Siting & Permitting																															
Approval and Permits	11/01/2017	09/30/2018																																
			Engineering																															
Engineering and Design	01/01/2018	11/01/2018																																
			Land																															
Material	09/01/2018	12/01/2018																																
			Construction																															
Construction	10/01/2018	04/30/2019																																
			Qtr1	Qtr2	Qtr3	Qtr4	Qtr1	Qtr2	Qtr3	Qtr4	Qtr1	Qtr2	Qtr3	Qtr4	Qtr1	Qtr2	Qtr3	Qtr4	Qtr1	Qtr2	Qtr3	Qtr4	Qtr1	Qtr2	Qtr3	Qtr4	Qtr1	Qtr2	Qtr3	Qtr4				
			2016				2017				2018				2019				2020				2021				2022							

1565 Line 115-kV Structure Replacement Project (Plumtree substation - Peaceable substation)
Correlation Table

<u>TCA Item</u>	<u>RSP: Project ID #</u>	<u>Reliability Issues Requiring Action</u>	<u>PPA No.</u>	<u>PPA Application: Preferred Solution Description</u>	<u>PAC/RC Meeting: Presentation Reference</u>	<u>TCA Application (\$1,000s):</u>	
						<u>PTF Estimate</u>	<u>Non-PTF Estimate</u>
ES-19-TCA-29	125	n/a	n/a	Replace 38 wood 115-kV structures with light-duty steel pole structures, including hardware, insulators, and guys.	Per PAC Presentation 10/17/2018	\$ 9,095	
				SUBTOTAL		\$ 9,095	\$ -