# 2020 Economic Study Request

**ISO-NE Planning Advisory Committee Meeting** April 23, 2020

nationalgrid

### **Motivation for the Request**

### **Drivers**

States continue to accelerate clean energy and emissions reduction legislation changing the region's future resource mix

- Analysis of a wide range of options to achieve state goals enables costeffective implementation
- Exploration of the characteristics of new resource mixes can help prepare stakeholders and markets for what may be valued in the future

### **Purpose**

Provide stakeholders analyses of potential pathways to best use the MWh of clean energy resources to meet state goals cost-effectively, leveraging transmission and/or storage as needed

- Evaluate the potential economic benefits associated with the deployment of transmission and/or storage under a range of assumed future resource portfolios
- Assess changes to thermal unit capacity factors, spillage and emissions as related to different resource and dispatch scenarios

### **Overview**

- Key Study Questions
- Building on Previous Studies
- Scenarios Requested
- High-level Assumptions
- Deliverables Requested

## **Key Study Questions**

# What may be the electricity market and operational ramifications of meeting state policy goals in ISO-NE?

- How might resource operations and economics be impacted by an increasing proportion of intermittent resources?
  - Capacity factors and revenues of natural-gas fired units
  - Spillage of low-emission resources
- What intra-regional transmission upgrades may be required?
- How might customer costs (load serving entity expenses) be impacted?
- How might bi-directional transmission capability with neighbors (NB, HQ, NY) and/or battery storage contribute to meeting future needs?
  - Effectively using available MWhs of clean energy, minimize renewable spillage and emissions
  - Impacts on intra-regional transmission needs and customer costs
  - Identify economic tradeoffs between transmission, storage, and renewables spillage
- How might system operations and the supply/demand balance for reserves be impacted by the changing resource mix?
  - Effect of increased intermittent resources on regulation, ramping and reserves (TMNSR, TMOR) requirements
  - Availability of reserves as thermal units retire, new resources come online

### **Building on Previous Studies**

### 2016 NEPOOL Public Policy Implications Scenarios

Assessed the economic impact of public policy-driven resource expansion's effect on energy market revenues and the cost of operating the system and supplying load

# National Grid would like to similarly:

 Assess regulation, ramping and reserves requirements and annual carrying charges for resource types

### 2017 CLF Least-Cost Emissions-Compliant Scenarios

Built upon the low-emissions case from 2016, this study analysed the economic effect of various lowemitting resource mixes – offshore wind vs onshore wind; varying solar and EE amounts; nuclear in and out of service

#### Assess capacity factors for unit types and fossil fuel consumption

Minimize emissions

### 2019 NESCOE Offshore Wind Integration Study

Performed transmission interconnection and costs analysis and assessed spillage and market impacts of high offshore wind integration

- Include a high level of offshore wind penetration
- Assess spillage
- Estimate transmission upgrade costs associated with new resource configurations
- Minimizing spillage through transmission, including imports/exports, and/or battery storage usage

#### While also:

- Including state policy additions and updates since 2016
- Looking further out into the future to 2035
- Including a sensitivity with higher amounts of thermal unit retirement
- Include more offshore wind

### **Scenarios Requested**

	For a 2035 model year	Sensitivities
Renewable Build-Out (Base)	• Begin with FCA 14 cleared and retire Mystic, all coal, nuclear with license expirations prior to 2035, 75% of conventional, including dual-fuel, oil units based on performance/ utilization metric	<ul> <li>High Thermal Retirement: 50% of the gas and remaining dual-fuel units based on performance/ utilization metric</li> <li>Additional sensitivities as determined by ISO and/or PAC to answer key study</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Add land based and offshore renewables to meet NICR and state policies</li> </ul>	
Renewable Build-out + Bi- directional Transmission	<ul> <li>Meet NICR and state policies with renewables including additional imports</li> <li>Treat existing ties to neighboring regions as bi-directional</li> <li>Add more bi-directional transmission ties to neighboring if needed to meet state policies, reduce spillage and/or reduce emissions in peak hours</li> </ul>	
Renewable Build-out + Storage	<ul> <li>Meet NICR and state policies by building additional onshore renewables</li> <li>Add storage to minimize renewable spillage and/or reduce emissions in peak hours</li> </ul>	

### **High-level Assumptions**

National Grid will continue to refine the following with ISO-NE and PAC input

Load	Retirements	Supply Additions
Extrapolate 2020 CELT load     net EE to 2035	<ul> <li>Mystic units 8 and 9</li> <li>Coal units</li> <li>Nuclear with license expirations prior to 2035</li> <li>75% of the conventional oil, including dual-fuel units, based on performance/ utilization metric</li> <li>Sensitivity: 50% of the remaining natural gas units based on performance/ utilization metric</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No new thermal units beyond those already planned</li> <li>6000 MW of offshore wind, utilizing interconnection points from 2019 study but excluding Mystic</li> <li>Total capacity minimum of <ul> <li>5,000 MW PV in Markets located primarily in the west</li> <li>2,000 MW onshore wind located primarily in the north</li> <li>2,500 MW battery storage located primarily near load centers</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Reduce load by 8,000 MW of BTM PV</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Increase load by about 7,000 GWh for EVs (~2.2 million light-duty vehicles)</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Increase load by about 9,500 GWh for heating (~4,500 MW in winter peak hour)</li> </ul>		
Overall Comparison to NESCOE Study	1	

#### **Overall Comparison to NESCOE Study**

Increase in total load consumption, changes to load shape given greater PV, EV and heating assumptions More thermal retirements

Higher storage and onshore renewable additions

### **High-level Assumptions continued**

National Grid will continue to refine the following with ISO-NE and PAC input

Transmission Projects	Interfaces	Policy Targets
<ul> <li>Proposed and Planned reliability projects in the 2020 RSP project list</li> <li>Any upgrades associated with signed interconnection agreements</li> <li>NECEC into Larrabee Rd at full 1,200 MW</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Internal interfaces as in 2019 NESCOE study</li> <li>Increased limit on Surowiec- South of 2,500 MW</li> <li>External interfaces vary by scenario: <ul> <li>Base/Storage</li> <li>Current limits enforced</li> <li>Historical flows</li> </ul> </li> <li>Bi-direction transmission <ul> <li>"Open" bi-directional flow at borders</li> <li>Flexible dispatch informed by historical availability profiles</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Massachusetts <ul> <li>1,200 MW of clean energy (procured as NECEC)</li> <li>3,200 MW of offshore wind</li> <li>Economy wide CO2 reductions of 100% by 2050 from 1990 levels</li> <li>1000 MWh of storage by 2025</li> </ul> </li> <li>Connecticut <ul> <li>2,000 MW of offshore wind</li> <li>RPS increase to 40% by 2030</li> </ul> </li> <li>Rhode Island <ul> <li>100% Renewables by 2030</li> </ul> </li> <li>Maine <ul> <li>45% emissions reduction by 2030; 80% emissions reduction by 2050</li> </ul> </li> <li>NH <ul> <li>25% Renewables by 2050</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Base transmission is the same; increase NECEC to its full capability	Additional inter-regional transmission capacity and dispatch opportunities	Increase policy target achievement to be consistent with progress by 2035

### **Deliverables Requested**

**Goal:** Provide insight on wholesale energy market impacts, unit economics, utilization of resources, and role of transmission and battery storage in meeting the needs of a system with a high proportion of intermittent resources

- Identification of transmission / storage capacity that may be needed in the region and high-level cost estimates
- System production costs, load serving entity expenses, congestion, interface flows, energy and ancillary service prices, and emissions as they relate to policy targets
- Generation production and costs, renewable spillage, energy and ancillary service revenues, carrying costs, generation capacity factors, and the marginal fuel
- Range of physical quantities of ramping, regulation, and reserves available and needed

nationalgrid